Can a Black Hole Destroy Spacetime Itself?

Published April 13, 2026 · 10 min read · https://thesleepyphysicist.com

There is a place inside every black hole where the equations stop working. Not where they become difficult, not where they require better computers to solve, but where they produce answers that have no physical meaning: infinite density, infinite curvature, a geometric structure that ceases to be a structure at all. General relativity, one of the most precisely tested theories in the history of science, predicts its own failure at the center of every black hole it predicts. That is either the most honest thing a physical theory has ever done, or a signal that something deeper is missing. Possibly both.

What Spacetime Actually Is

Before asking whether spacetime can be destroyed, it helps to be clear about what it is. The common picture treats space and time as a neutral backdrop, a coordinate system in which physical events take place. This picture is wrong, or at least severely incomplete.

In general relativity, spacetime is a physical field. It has its own dynamics, its own degrees of freedom, its own energy content. Mass and energy curve it. Moving mass produces waves in it. Those waves carry energy across the universe at the speed of light and were directly detected by LIGO in 2015, when two merging black holes caused the proper distance between test masses four kilometers apart to change by roughly one thousandth the diameter of a proton.[1]

This is not a wave moving through spacetime. This is the spacetime metric itself oscillating. The geometry is the thing that changed. Frame dragging, confirmed by Gravity Probe B in 2011, demonstrated that a rotating mass physically drags the local geometry of spacetime around with it, causing gyroscopes in orbit to precess in a direction determined by Earth's rotation.[2] GPS satellites require continuous correction for gravitational time dilation because clocks at altitude run measurably faster than clocks at sea level, a direct consequence of the metric having different values at different heights.

Spacetime does things. It responds to mass, carries waves, dilates time, and can be deformed. The question of whether it can be destroyed is therefore not a philosophical curiosity. It is a question about the behavior of a physical field under extreme conditions.

Spacetime is not the stage on which physics happens. It is one of the actors.

What General Relativity Predicts at the Singularity

The singularity theorems of Roger Penrose, first published in 1965 and recognized with the Nobel Prize in Physics in 2020, proved that geodesic incompleteness follows inevitably from the formation of a trapped surface under physically reasonable conditions.[3] A trapped surface is a closed two-dimensional surface where all outward-directed light rays converge rather than diverge. Once a trapped surface forms, at least one geodesic, one possible path through spacetime for a particle or photon, must terminate in finite proper time. It runs out of spacetime to move through.

At the termination point, the curvature scalars, the coordinate-independent numbers that measure the actual geometric distortion of spacetime at a given location, diverge without bound. The Kretschner scalar $K = R_{abcd}R^{abcd}$, a contraction of the Riemann tensor with itself, becomes infinite. This is not a failure of the coordinate system chosen. Change coordinates however you like. The infinity remains.

The geometry fails to be a geometry. The metric tensor, which encodes all spatial and temporal relationships at every point, cannot be extended through the singularity. There is no "other side" in the sense of a region that the infalling geodesic continues into. The path ends. Spacetime ends with it, locally and specifically, at that point.

For a non-rotating Schwarzschild black hole, the singularity is spacelike: it occupies a moment in the future of every worldline inside the horizon rather than a location in space. You cannot point toward it. You can only approach it the way you approach tomorrow. For a rotating Kerr black hole, the singularity is a ring in the equatorial plane, timelike in the maximally extended solution, with implications for determinism that remain mathematically unresolved.[4]

The Cosmic Censorship Problem

Penrose himself recognized the implications of his singularity theorems and responded with a conjecture: the weak cosmic censorship hypothesis, proposed in 1969, states that singularities produced by gravitational collapse are always hidden behind event horizons.[5] The exterior spacetime, the geometry inhabited by observers who never crossed a horizon, is protected from the singularity's consequences by the causal structure of the black hole.

This matters for the destruction question. If cosmic censorship holds, the answer to whether a black hole destroys spacetime becomes: yes, locally, at the singularity, but the damage is causally sealed inside the horizon. The exterior universe is unaffected. The geometric failure cannot propagate outward, cannot send signals, cannot influence the causal future of anything outside the event horizon.

The problem is that cosmic censorship has not been proven. After more than fifty years of effort, neither the weak form nor the strong form has been established in mathematical generality. The strong cosmic censorship conjecture, which concerns the global determinism of general relativity rather than just the visibility of singularities, has encountered genuine difficulties in the Kerr geometry, where the inner Cauchy horizon presents instability problems whose resolution in the fully nonlinear setting remains active research as of 2025.[6]

Cosmic censorship does not prevent spacetime from being destroyed. It argues that the destruction stays contained. Those are different claims.

What Quantum Gravity Expects

The consensus expectation among physicists working on quantum gravity is that the singularity is not a real feature of physical spacetime. It is what general relativity produces when pushed past the domain of its validity. The theory breaks down at the

Planck scale, where lengths approach $l_P = \sqrt{\hbar G / c^3} \approx 1.616 \times 10^{-35}$ meters and energies approach $E_P = \sqrt{\hbar c^5 / G} \approx 1.956 \times 10^9$ joules per quantum. At these scales, quantum effects on the geometry itself cannot be ignored, and general relativity provides no mechanism for handling them.

Loop quantum gravity quantizes the geometry of spacetime directly, treating area and volume as operators with discrete spectra. The minimum area eigenvalue is of order l_P^2. This discreteness produces an effective repulsive term in the dynamical equations near the Planck density $\rho_P = c^5 / (\hbar G^2) \approx 5.16 \times 10^{96}$ kg per cubic meter. The curvature cannot diverge in a discrete geometry. It reaches a maximum finite value and the dynamics bounce.[7]

The loop quantum cosmology sector, which applies these methods to homogeneous cosmological models, has demonstrated robust singularity resolution for a variety of scenarios including isotropic collapse, anisotropic Bianchi models, and Gowdy spacetimes. The Big Bang singularity is replaced by a quantum bounce in which the universe contracts, reaches maximum density at the Planck scale, and re-expands. By direct extension, black hole interiors are expected to contain similar bounce structures rather than terminal singularities.

String theory approaches the problem differently. The fuzzball proposal, developed within string theory, replaces the classical black hole geometry with a dense quantum structure of strings and branes extending to or near the horizon. In this picture the interior does not exist in the conventional sense. The information that would have formed the singularity is encoded in the quantum state of the fuzzball surface. The singularity does not form because the geometry never extends that far.[8]

These two proposals, the loop quantum gravity bounce and the string theory fuzzball, are incompatible with each other. They predict entirely different physical structures for the black hole interior. Both claim to resolve the singularity. Neither has been confirmed by observation.

The Information Paradox as a Related Constraint

The question of whether spacetime is destroyed at the singularity is entangled with the black hole information paradox, though the two are distinct problems. Hawking radiation, the thermal emission predicted to arise from quantum field effects at the event horizon, causes black holes to lose mass and eventually evaporate completely over timescales of order:

$$t_{evap} = \frac{5120 \pi G^2 M^3}{\hbar c^4}$$

For a ten-solar-mass black hole this is approximately 2×10^{74} years. The radiation spectrum, as Hawking calculated it, is thermal and carries no information about the initial state of the black hole.[9] If the black hole evaporates completely and the radiation is truly featureless, the information about every object that ever fell in has been destroyed. This violates unitarity, the quantum mechanical requirement that information is conserved through any physical process.

The 2019 calculations by Almheiri, Engelhardt, Marolf, Maxfield and independently by Penington used the island formula to reproduce the Page curve, the entropy profile expected of unitary evaporation, using a semiclassical calculation that includes contributions from spacetime regions called islands inside the black hole interior.[10] The calculation suggests information is preserved. The physical mechanism by which it escapes remains unresolved.

The relevance to spacetime destruction is this: if information survives evaporation encoded in Hawking radiation, then something about the interior structure of the black hole must be communicating with the exterior, whether through island contributions to the entropy, through subtle correlations in the radiation, or through some mechanism not yet understood. A spacetime that is genuinely and completely destroyed at the singularity has no obvious mechanism for this communication. The information paradox resolution and the singularity resolution may ultimately require the same underlying physics.

What We Actually Know

General relativity predicts that spacetime is locally destroyed at the singularity inside every black hole. The geometry fails there. The physical field that carries gravity and structure ceases to be defined. This prediction follows from the field equations with the same mathematical rigor as the predictions of gravitational waves and black hole shadows, both of which have been directly confirmed.

Cosmic censorship, if it holds, contains the damage. The exterior spacetime is protected by the causal structure of the event horizon. But cosmic censorship has not been proven in general, and recent work on quantum effects near extremal black holes has identified scenarios where it may fail.

Every serious candidate for a quantum theory of gravity predicts that the singularity is an artifact of an incomplete description. Loop quantum gravity replaces it with a finite-curvature bounce. String theory replaces it with a quantum surface. Both frameworks predict that the geometry continues through the region where general relativity predicts failure, in different ways that cannot both be correct.

The complete theory of quantum gravity that would settle the question does not yet exist in a testable form. The interior of a black hole cannot be observed by any means consistent with known physics. The singularity is, at present, the sharpest edge of human ignorance about what spacetime is and what it can do.

That is not a comfortable place to leave a question. It is, however, the accurate one.

[1] Abbott, B.P. et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration). "Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger." Physical Review Letters 116, 061102 (2016).

[2] Everitt, C.W.F. et al. "Gravity Probe B: Final Results of a Space Experiment to Test General Relativity." Physical Review Letters 106, 221101 (2011).

[3] Penrose, R. "Gravitational Collapse and Space-Time Singularities." Physical Review Letters 14, 57 (1965).

[4] Van de Moortel, M. "The Strong Cosmic Censorship Conjecture." Comptes Rendus Mecanique (2025). arXiv:2501.13180.

[5] Penrose, R. "Gravitational Collapse: The Role of General Relativity." Rivista del Nuovo Cimento 1, 252 (1969).

[6] Curiel, E. and Bokulich, P. "Singularities and Black Holes." Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (2009, revised continuously).

[7] Ashtekar, A. and Singh, P. "Loop Quantum Cosmology: A Status Report." Classical and Quantum Gravity 28, 213001 (2011).

[8] Skenderis, K. and Taylor, M. "The fuzzball proposal for black holes." Physics Reports 467, 117 (2008).

[9] Hawking, S.W. "Particle Creation by Black Holes." Communications in Mathematical Physics 43, 199 (1975).

[10] Almheiri, A., Engelhardt, N., Marolf, D. and Maxfield, H. "Entanglement Wedge as the Holographic Dual of the Coded Subspace." Journal of High Energy Physics 2019, 63 (2019).